

Good Morning,

First of all, I'd like to thank all of you for your continuing interest in what is happening in our community. The impacts from contamination in the Pavillion area have been terrifying and heartbreaking, but knowing that we're not alone give me hope.

How the contamination is addressed in Pavillion, Wyoming is not just about what happens to us, it's about protecting people who live with oil and gas development across our state and our country.

I believe that we can change how the oil and gas industry operates and make sure our government holds them accountable. Regardless of which government entity is in charge of regulating this industry, the first concern must be the protection of air, water and the health of people who are forced to live with development.

Please take time to review the following letter that Pavillion Area Concerned Citizens sent to Wyoming Governor Matt Mead.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John Fenton". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "J" and "F".

John Fenton, Chair
Pavillion Area Concerned Citizens
Pavillion, Wyoming
307-709-2540

Governor Matt Mead
State Capitol, 200 West 24th Street
Cheyenne, WY 82002-0010

June 24, 2013
Dear Governor Mead,

It was unfortunate you were unable to attend your meeting in Riverton on June 20th; we hope your condition has improved.

After reviewing the State's plan for addressing the contamination impacts in our community, Pavillion Area Concerned Citizens (PACC) has the following initial comments and questions.

Although it appears that some of the stakeholders who have worked in the Pavillion area, such as EPA and EnCana, have been included in your planning process, we were not. As you

know, PACC was formed by people who live in the affected area. We work on the issues in our community because we are heavily impacted. At this time our main concern is that we were not consulted during your planning process and your plan does not give us any process for input as the investigation moves forward.

We were surprised to learn that the State will now be in charge of the Pavillion groundwater investigation. We were extremely disappointed to learn that we were the last to know. After reviewing your plan, we are also concerned. We have worked with the EPA experts for five years and are concerned that the new experts you are proposing to enlist are yet to be identified. Please clarify this situation for us. We fully support the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Pavillion, Wyoming groundwater investigation for the following reasons. EPA initially toured our community in 2008, after we had asked the state and industry for help for over five years with no results. The EPA experts and consultants used the latest technology to conduct a strong science based investigation and sampling plan. Throughout the process, their experts continuously kept the people who live here in the loop. They explained their testing procedures and protocols, and helped us understand and navigate the data they produced. They also listened to the information we provided; information that only we have, because we live and work with the gas development. They were always kind, courteous and genuinely concerned with the conditions we live with. They always tried to find answers to the many questions we have. We have had a good working relationship.

As you know, the EPA produced a draft report of their investigation. We believe the EPA draft report should be peer reviewed, as scheduled. We recommend the State also support peer review of the report. The information in the draft report is relevant and should be used to help source, identify and remediate the contamination in our community. Regardless of who conducts the investigation and how it moves forward, the EPA report should continue to be considered. Ignoring or misinterpreting any part of the study will be extremely detrimental to our health, our right to clean water and air, as well as our property values and quality of life.

The EPA's communications with the impacted citizens was one of the strengths of their investigation. We hope to have the same communication with the State. We believe it is our right as affected residents and landowners to have a seat at the table during all phases of the investigation, plan development and implementation of the plan. The investigation was initiated and continues because of our impacts.

Pavillion residents must be included as stakeholders, with a voice in how the investigation and plan move forward, before we can support any plans that are developed. We need assurance that our consultants can fully review and comment on the investigation and plans as they are being developed and implemented.

Please provide us with the time frame for consideration and hiring of experts. We must have the right to review the list of experts being considered and have ample time for our consultants to review the list and comment on the experts' qualifications. We must also have the right to recommend experts for consideration.

Please provide us with the schedule(s) for all meetings involving the investigation and planning process; both public and stakeholder meetings. Please explain how our input will be heard and accepted during the meetings.

Please identify the State Agency and personnel who will be our contacts, and provide their contact information.

It appears there will be a final report on Well Bore Integrity and Pits. Two of our members, Jeff Locker and I, are on the working groups. Please provide us with how the working groups will move forward and what the schedule is for the next meetings.

Your plan does not include complete information about private water well testing. Please provide the exact sampling procedures, protocols and guidelines referenced in the plan, including (Attachment A).

Your plan does not include complete information for how the state proposes to access private water wells. Who will contact residents, and when will they be contacted? Who will construct the proposed agreement? When will residents be provided with the proposed agreement? What is the time frame residents will have to consider and sign the proposed agreement?

How will the health issues and impacts from the contamination in our community be addressed by the State? Will you consult with the ATSDR, or will you hire experts to evaluate our health impacts?

Your plan does not outline any process for public comment. Please provide us with how public comment will be accepted, evaluated and considered during the investigation, in the planning process and in the final documents.

We may have other comments and questions after our consultants have had time to review your plan. We look forward to your written responses.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John Fenton". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "J" and "F".

John Fenton, Chair
Pavillion Area Concerned Citizens
202 Indian Ridge Road
Pavillion, Wyoming 82523

Cc: Todd Parfitt, Director, Wyoming DEQ
Grant Black, Supervisor, Wyoming Oil & Gas Commission
Robert Sussman, Washington DC, EPA
Chris Poulet, ATSDR
Michelle Waters, ATSDR
Shaun McGrath, Region 8, EPA
Howard Cantor, Region 8, EPA
Kevin Lind, Director, Powder River Basin Resource Council